contemporary of mine¹ inquired regarding this persecution² in which he is forced to confess that that man³ is God's messenger and that he is a true prophet. He addressed his query to one whom he calls a sage⁴ and who was not touched by the tribulations of most of the Jewish communities in this violence, may it pass soon, and he wished to learn whether he should make the confession in order not to die, although his children will be lost among the gentiles, or should he die and not acknowledge what he demands, seeing that in this way he does what he is required by the Torah of Moses, and that the confession leads to the relinquishment of all the commandments.⁵

The man of whom the inquiry was made offered a weak and senseless reply, of foul content and form. He made statements in it distinctly harmful, as even light-minded women can realize. Although his reply is weak, tedious, and confused, I thought I should quote him at length, but I spared the gift that God, blessed be He, bestowed on mankind. I mean speech, of which our sacred Torah states: Who gives man speech? . . . Is it not I, the Lord? [Exod. 4:11]. A man should be more sparing of his speech than of his money, and should not speak much yet do little. Indeed the Sage has condemned verbosity with little content in his declaration: Just as dreams come with much brooding, so does foolish utterance come with much speech [Eccles. 5:2]. You know of course what Job's friends said as he talked on and on: Is a multitude of words unanswerable? Must a loquacious person be right? [Job 11:2]; Job does not speak with knowledge; his words lack understanding [Job 34:35]. There are many such reflections.

Since I am well informed regarding this issue, and am not ignorant of it as this man is, I think it is proper to cite something of the gist of what he said, and omit the rest, which does not merit a response, although on close examination nothing of what he said deserves an answer. Such is his assertion that whoever acknowledges his 11 apos-

tleship has *ipso facto* disavowed the Lord, God of Israel. In support he brings the statement of our sages, "Whoever professes idolatry is as if he denied the entire Torah." Judging from this analogy, he apparently finds no distinction between one who turns to idolatry not under duress but voluntarily, like Jeroboam and his associates, ¹³ and one who will under compulsion say of someone that he is a prophet, because he is afraid of the executioner's sword.

When I read this first statement of his, I decided not to challenge him before I read all of it, heeding the instruction of the Sage: To answer a man before hearing him out is foolish and disgraceful [Prov. 18:13]. So, when I looked further into his remarks, I noted that he said the following: "Whoever utters that confession is a gentile, though he fulfills the entire Law publicly and privately."14 This "clear-headed man"15 evidently sees absolutely no difference between one who does not observe the Sabbath out of the fear of the sword and one who does not observe it because he does not wish to. 16 I read on: "If one of the forced converts enters one of their houses of worship, 17 even if he does not say a word, and he then goes home and offers his prayers, this prayer is charged against him as an added sin and transgression." His proof text is the comment of our sages on the verse, For My people have done a twofold wrong [Jer. 2:13]:18 They bowed to the idol and they bowed to the Temple. 19 This interpretation again does not discriminate between one who bowed to the idol and the Temple because he is a heretic and wants to defile God's 1 ame and desecrate His holiness and one who comes to a house of worship in order to behave like someone zealous²⁰ for the glory of God,²¹ but does not utter or say a word that is in any way contrary to our religion, yet he must of necessity go to that house. 22 I likewise found him saving that anyone who avows that that man is a prophet,23 though he does it under compulsion, is a wicked person, disqualified by Scripture from serving as a witness, since the Torah rules: You shall not join hands with the guilty [Exod. 23:1], that is, do not make a wicked man a witness.²⁴

Even as I read his abuses, his long-winded foolish babbling and nonsense, I still believed it was not correct to challenge him before I read all the rest; perhaps it might be an example of what Solomon described: The end of a matter is better than the beginning of it [Eccles. 7:8]. But I found him saying toward the end of his missive that

heretics and Christians likewise assume that they will choose death rather than grant his apostleship. ²⁶ When I learned this I was struck with amazement and wondered: Is there no God in Israel? [2 Kings 1:3, 6]. ²⁷ If an idol-worshiper burns his son and daughter to his object of worship, ²⁸ do we even more certainly have to set fire to ourselves for service to God? Alas for the question, alas for the answer! Considering that he began by finding support in something irrelevant to his argument, and concluded by approving the thinking of heretics and Christians, I decided that God's judgment is right: his talk begins as silliness and ends as disastrous madness.

You ought to know that no one has the right to speak in public before he has rehearsed what he wants to say two, three, and four times, and learned it; then he may speak. This is what the rabbis taught, and took their proof text from the verse: Then He saw it and gauged it; He measured it and probed it. And afterward: He said to man [Job 28:27]. So much for what a person is required to do before he speaks. But if a man legislates on his own, and puts it down in writing, he should revise it a thousand times, if possible. This man, however, did nothing of the kind. He reduced all this important advice to writing, and did not think it necessary to prepare a first draft and then revise it. Evidently he considered his remarks free from doubt, in no need of correction. He handed them to someone who was to convey them in every city and town, and in this way brought darkness into the hearts of men. He sent darkness; it was very dark [Ps. 105:28]. 30

II

I shall now undertake to define the magnitude of the error that misled this poor wretch, and how he hurt himself unknowingly.³¹ He thought he was doing one kind deed, but instead became guilty of many wrongs, marshalling much irrelevant evidence, spouting words, and becoming the slave of his pen. It is well known from the account of our rabbis that before the Israelites left Egypt, they corrupted their ways and violated the covenant of circumcision,³² so that none of them save the tribe of Levi³³ was circumcised. Only when the Passover commandment was promulgated, in connection with which God instructed Moses: No uncircumcised shall eat of it [Exod. 12:43],³⁴ he ordered them to

perform the rite. Our rabbis described the performance: Moses did the cutting, Joshua the ripping, Aaron the sucking.³⁵ The foreskins were collected in heaps.³⁶ The blood of circumcision got mixed with the blood of the paschal lamb, and this made them deserving of the redemption.³⁷ This is the implication of God's narration through Ezekiel: When I passed by you and saw you wallowing in your blood, I said to you: "Live by your blood," Yea, I said to you, "live by your blood" [Ezek. 16:6].³⁸ Our rabbis added that they became degenerate with incest, deriving it from the the verse: O mortal, once there were two women, daughters of one mother [Ezek. 23:2]. 39 Nevertheless, although they were corrupt as all this, God rebuked Moses for saying: What if they do not believe me? [Exod. 4.1].40 And he retorted: They are believers, children of believers; 41 believers, as Scripture reports: and the people . . . believed [Exod. 14:31]; sons of believers: because he believed, He reckoned it to his merit [Gen. 15:6]. 42 But you will end up not believing; it is told in Scripture: Because you did not believe Me enough to affirm My sanctity [Num. 20:12].43 In fact, he was punished at once, as the rabbis understood:44 "He who suspects the innocent suffers physically. What is the proof? Moses."45

Again, in Elijah's time, they were all sinfully deliberate idolaters, all but the seven thousand—every knee that has not knelt to Baal and every mouth that has not kissed him [1 Kings 19:18]. 46 Notwithstanding, when he was about to hurl accusations against Israel at Mt. Horeb, the following dialogue was carried on between God and him. God: Why are you here, Elijah? Elijah: I am moved by zeal for the Lord, the God of Hosts, for the Israelites have forsaken Your covenant. God: Is it your covenant by chance? Elijah: Torn down Your altars. God: Your altars perhaps? Elijah: And put Your prophets to the sword. God: But you are alive!? Elijah: I alone am left, and they are out to take my life [1 Kings 19:10]. 47 God: Instead of hurling accusations against Israel, would it not have been more reasonable to direct them against the gentile nations? They have maintained a house of prostitution, a house of idol worship, and you plead against Israel! For the text reads: The towns of Aroer shall be deserted [Isa. 17:2]. Go back by the way you came, and on to the wilderness of Damascus [1 Kings 19:15]. 48 This is all explained by the sages in Midrash Hazita. 49

Similarly in Isaiah's time, they indulged heavily in sin, as the text

accuses: Ah, sinful nation! People laden with iniquity! [Isa. 1:4];⁵⁰ they worshipped idols (behind the door and doorpost you have directed your thoughts [Isa. 57:8]);⁵¹ they were also murderers (Alas, she has become a harlot, the faithful city that was filled with justice, where righteousness dwelt—but now murderers [Isa. 1:21]);⁵² they even desectated God's name (Eat and drink, for tomorrow we die [Isa. 22:13]);⁵³ and they disdained God's law (Leave the way! Get off the path! Let us hear no more about the Holy One of Israel [Isa. 30:11]).⁵⁴ Despite this, in punishment of his complaint: And I live among a people of unclean lips, immediately one of the seraphs flew over to me with a live coal. . . . He touched it to my lips and declared: "Now that this has touched your lips, your guilt shall depart and your sin be purged away" [Isa. 6:5-7].⁵⁵ According to the sages, his sin was not forgiven until Manasseh killed him.⁵⁶

When the angel appeared⁵⁷ to plead against Joshua son of Jozadak because his sons married girls who were unworthy to be the wives of priests,⁵⁸ God silenced him, since the text continues: The Lord rebuke you, O Accuser; may the Lord who has chosen Jerusalem rebuke you! For this is a brand plucked from the fire [Zech. 3:2].

If this is the sort of punishment meted out to the pillars of the universe-Moses, Elijah, Isaiah, and the ministering angels⁵⁹-because they briefly criticized the Jewish congregation, can one have an idea of the fate of the least among the worthless who let his tongue loose against Jewish communities of sages and their disciples, priests, and Levites, and called them sinners, evildoers, gentiles, disqualified to testify, heretics who deny the Lord God of Israel?⁶⁰ These are verbal quotations from his response; can you picture his punishment? They⁶¹ did not rebel against God to seek satisfaction and delight, they did not abandon our faith to achieve status and worldly pleasures. For they have fled before swords: before the whetted sword, before the bow that was drawn, before the stress of war [Isa. 21:15].62 This man did not realize that they are not rebels by choice. God will not abandon nor forsake them, for He did not scorn, He did not spurn the plea of the lowly [Ps. 22:25]. It is as the sages, peace be upon them, interpreted the verse, And he smelled his clothes [Gen. 27:27];63 and pronounced it "his traitors" not "his clothes."64 But this person wrote only what he invented and concocted.

It is common knowledge that in the course of a persecution during which Jewish sages were executed, Rabbi Meir was arrested. ⁶⁵ Some who knew him said: "You are Meir, aren't you?" and he replied: "I am not." ⁶⁶ Pointing to ham they ordered: "Eat this if you are not Jewish." He responded: "I shall readily eat it," and he pretended he was eating, but did not in fact. In the view of this modest person who knows the true meaning of Torah, Rabbi Meir is undoubtedly a gentile, for so his responsum rules: He who acts openly as a gentile, although secretly he behaves like a Jew, is a gentile, since according to him worship of God is open, ⁶⁷ and he ⁶⁸ hides it, as Rabbi Meir did.

It is likewise well known that Rabbi Eliezer was seized for heresy. which is worse than idolatry. 69 The heretics—may God destroy them mock religion, and call anyone who adheres to it a fool, anyone who studies it deranged. They reject prophecy utterly. Rabbi Eliezer was a celebrated scholar in the sciences. 70 They inquired: "How can you be at your level in learning and still believe in religion?" He answered them in a way that made them believe that he adopted their doctrine, whereas in his reply he was really thinking of the true religion and no other. This incident is recounted in the midrash on Ecclesiastes⁷¹ as follows: Rabbi Eliezer was seized in order to be converted to heresy. The chief brought him to the capital and said to him: "Say, old man, is a person like you engaged in this stuff?" He replied: "I have faith in the judge." The chief thought he meant him, whereas he was really thinking of God, and the chief continued:72 "Rabbi, in view of your having faith in me, I was indeed wondering, can he possibly have been misled by such stuff? By God, you are free!" It is clear that Rabbi Eliezer feigned before the chief that he was a heretic, although he was sincerely devoted to God. Now heresy is far more grievous than idolatry; it has been clearly expounded in the entire Talmud. 73 Yet according to this virtuous individual, Rabbi Eliezer is definitely disqualified. But in this persecution to which we are subjected we do not pretend that we are idolaters, we only appear to believe what they assert. 74 They fully understand that we do not mean it at all, and are simply deceiving the ruler. Yet they deceived Him with their speech, lied to Him with their words [Ps. 78:36]. 75

We know what happened to Israel in the reign of the wicked Neb-

uchadnezzar, when all the inhabitants of Babylon, except Hananiah, Mishael, and Azariah bowed before the molten image. The Lord, blessed be He, foretold it: No more shall Jacob be shamed, no longer his face grow pale [Isa. 29:22]. To It may be that even the artisans and laborers were among those who prostrated themselves in Babylon, if they were there at the time. Despite this, I have not come across anyone who named them wicked, gentiles, disqualified to give testimony. God did not charge them with the sin of idolatry, because they acted under duress. The sages put it this way, reflecting on the time of Haman: They only pretended, I also shall only pretend. That man, however, is undoubtedly God-fearing. Shame on him who argues with his Maker, though naught but a potsherd of earth! Shall the clay say to the potter, "What are you doing?" [Isa. 45:9]. 80

We likewise know of the evil, cruel decrees during the wicked rule of the Greeks, ⁸¹ including the order that none was to shut the door of his house, so he would not be alone, fulfilling a divine command. Nevertheless our sages did not label them gentiles, or sinful, but absolutely righteous. They prayed for them and added the thankful prayer—recited on Hanukkah—"for the Miracles," ⁸² which one can read down to "and the wicked in the hands of the righteous."

If in my opening remarks I had not decisively stated that I would not repeat all of his prattle, I would let you read it in extenso how one can be fool enough to speak in this manner or let himself go and write or respond to irrelevant matter in answer to a simple question that was asked of him. He cited proof from "contradicted witnesses,"83 one who reviles his father and mother,84 the law of fringes,85 one who plows with an ox and an ass together, 86 letting one's cattle mate with a different kind,87 as if the man asked him to compose azharot.88 in which all the precepts would be enumerated. He reported that the Muslims have an idol in Mecca and in other places; was he asked whether he should go on a pilgrimage to Mecca? He informed him that Muhammad⁸⁹ killed 24,000 Jews, as if he wished to know if Muhammad would share in the world-to-come, and many such unrelated items. He should have more properly paid much heed to Solomon's admonition: Keep your mouth from being rash, and let not your throat be quick to bring forth speech before God [Eccles. 5:1]. Had he heeded

this verse, he would have realized that whoever answered an inquiry or engaged in an analysis of the allowed and the forbidden was bringing forth speech before God, and he would not fail as he did. 90

God knows and bears witness—"He is an adequate witness" 91 that even if he rebuked and spoke more chattily than he did, it would not hurt me. I am certainly not seeking victory. On the contrary, I feel, Let us lie down in our shame, let our disgrace cover us: for we have sinned against the Lord our God, we and our fathers [Jer. 3:25].92 I should have respected and esteemed him more, believed that his objective was to do God's bidding. Thank God, I know my personal worth very well. We acknowledge our wickedness, O Lord-the iniquity of our fathers [Jer. 14:20]. 93 It would not have been right of me to find fault with him had he not written things that I have no right to overlook or disregard, like ruling that any victim of the persecution who prays receives no reward but is, on the contrary, guilty of committing a sin. I know that whatever is published in a book-correct or incorrectwill most certainly become public knowledge. This is why so many wrong ideas are popular among people. Only what is recorded in writing makes the difference between you and the wrong views. 94 and they will gain him a following. Therefore I was afraid that the response that turns people away from God would fall into the hands of an ignorant individual, and he would conclude that he will receive no reward for praying, so he will not pray. This, he will assume, is true of the other commandments; if he performs them, he will get no reward for performing any of them.

Ш

I shall now expose what this ranter of nonsense went astray in. It is explicitly reported in the Bible that Ahab son of Omri who denied God and worshiped idols, as God attests: Indeed there never was anyone like Ahab [1 Kings 21:25], 95 had the decree against him rescinded after he fasted two and a half hours. 96 The Bible informs us: Then the word of the Lord came to Elijah the Tishbite: "Have you seen how Ahab has humbled himself before Me? Because He has humbled himself before Me, I will not bring the disaster in his lifetime; I will bring the disaster upon his house in his son's time [I Kings 21:28-29]. 97

Eglon, king of Moab, who oppressed Israel, was handsomely rewarded by God because he honored Him and rose from his seat when Ehud said to him: I have a message for you from God [Judg. 3:20]. 98 He had the throne of Solomon, which is a divine throne (and Solomon sat on the divine throne [1 Chron. 29:23]), 99 and the throne of the Messiah come from his descendants. For, as the rabbis teach us, Ruth the Moabite was his daughter. 100 God did not withhold his reward.

The wicked Nebuchadnezzar, who killed vast multitudes of Israel and burned the Temple that is the footstool of God, ¹⁰¹ was rewarded with a forty-year reign like King Solomon, because he ran a short distance to meet God for the sake of Hezekiah, as the rabbis state: "He ran after him a distance of four paces. God did not withhold his reward."

Wicked Esau-God certified His rejection of him, as is written: And I have rejected Esau [Mal. 1:3]—had his outrages spelled out by the rabbis. That day he committed five crimes: murdered, worshiped idols, ravished an engaged girl, denied resurrection, and despised the rights of primogeniture. He then enwrapped himself in his cloak, came to his father Isaac, and asked him: "Father, is salt subject to the tithe?" His father reflected: "How strict my son is in religious observance." 103 Yet, as reward for the one commandment—honoring his father—which he fulfilled, God has granted him uninterrupted dominion until the Messiah the king arrives. 104 This is confirmed by the rabbis: David's descendant will not come before Esau receives his reward for honoring his father and mother, as the text reads: He sent me after glory unto the nations [Zech. 2:12]. 105 Several times our sages repeat this principle: "The Holy One blessed be He, does not withhold the reward of any creature. 106 He always rewards everyone for the good deed that he performs, and punishes everyone for the evil he does, as long as he continues to do it."107

If these well-known heretics were generously rewarded for the little good that they did, is it conceivable that God will not reward the Jews, who despite the exigencies of the forced conversion perform commandments secretly? Can it be that He does not discriminate between one who performs a commandment and one who does not, between one who serves God and one who does not? So it appears from the writing of this man, nay, that when he prays he commits a sin, and he cites

the verse: For My people have done a twofold wrong [Jer. 2:13]. ¹⁰⁸ Now his error has been exposed to you and that he has not ceased to disparage his contemporaries, going so far as to speak against the sages, as we pointed out; nay, he even dared to ascribe to the Creator that He punishes for the performance of a commandment, as he expressed himself: The prayer of any of us is a sin. Indeed, it is of this that Solomon said: And don't plead before the messenger that it was an error [Eccles. 5:5]. ¹⁰⁹

IV

Realizing this amazing matter that hurts the eyes, I undertook to gather pharmaceutics and roots from the books of the ancients, of which I intend to prepare medicine and salve helpful for this sickness, and heal it with the help of God. ¹¹⁰

I think it right to divide what I have to say on this subject into five themes: 1. the class of the laws related to the time of forced conversion; 2. definitions of the desecration of God's name and the punishment; 3. the ranks of those who die a martyr's death, and those who are forcibly converted in a persecution; 4. how this persecution differs from others, and what is to be done in relation to it; and 5. a discussion of how advisable it is for one to be careful in this persecution, may God soon put an end to it. Amen.

Theme one, the distribution of the precepts during a time of duress, is divided into three classes: A. One class of precepts, those concerning idolatry, incest, and bloodshed, requires that whenever a person is forced to violate any of them, he is at all times, everywhere, and under all circumstances obliged to die rather than transgress. At all times means in a time of persecution or otherwise; everywhere means privately or publicly; under all circumstances means whether the tyrant intends to have him act against his faith or not; in these situations he is obliged to die rather than transgress. ¹¹¹ B. All the other commandments, any of which an oppressor may compel him to transgress, he is to judge. If the tyrant does it for his personal satisfaction, be it a time of persecution or not, privately or publicly, he may violate the Torah and escape death. ¹¹² Support of this procedure is found in the chapter on the wayward son: ¹¹³ "But the case of Esther was public!

Yes, but she was always passive."114 Rava maintained: "If it is for his personal satisfaction it makes a difference; otherwise how do we allow ourselves to give them the censers and the coal-containers?"115 Clearly, it is because it makes a difference when it is for their personal satisfaction. In the case of Esther the similar difference exists: It is for their personal satisfaction. Rava is following his own reasoning, for he rules that if a non-Jew orders a Jew to cut the alfalfa on a Sabbath day and throw it before his beasts or he will kill him, he is to cut it and not have himself killed. But if he orders him to cast it into the river, he is to prefer death to obeying him, since he wants him to commit a sin. 116 It is our principle to follow Rava's decision. It is clear that as long as the oppressor is doing it for his personal satisfaction he is to transgress and to shun death, even if it is in public and in the course of a persecution. 117 C. If it is the aim of the oppressor to have him transgress, it is for him to deliberate. If it is a time of persecution he is to surrender his life and not transgress, whether in private or in public, but if it is not, he should choose to transgress and not die if it is in private, and to die if it is in public. 118 This is how the sages formulate it: When R. Dimi arrived he ruled in the name of R. Johanan that even if it is not a time of persecution, he may transgress rather than die only in private; in public he may not violate even a minor rabbinic precept, even changing the manner of tying the shoes. In public is defined as a body of ten, all Israelites. 119

The second theme covers the definitions of the profanation of God's name and the punishment. Profanation¹²⁰ divides in two classes, general and particular. The general has two subdivisions: Commission of a sin for spite, not for pleasure or any satisfaction to be derived from the act, but because one thinks little of it and scorns it. This individual has profaned God's name, for He warns: You shall not swear falsely by My name, profaning the name of your God [Lev. 19:12]; it is an act that yields no pleasure or satisfaction. ¹²¹ If he does it in public he is profaning God's name. It has been made clear that in public means before ten Israelites. The second subdivision is of people who are neglectful and do not improve their behavior, so that others grumble about them very critically. ¹²² They may not have committed a sin, but they have profaned God's name. In the matter of transgressions a person is required to be as heedful of human beings as he is of God. ¹²³

He, blessed be He, ruled: You shall be guiltless before the Lord and before Israel [Num. 32:22]. 124 It is related in the tractate Yoma 125 that Rabbi Nahman ben Yitzhak pointed to the proverb people use: "May God forgive so-and-so." 126 Another expression is: "When friends are embarrassed by his reputation." 127

The particular is of two kinds. The first is when a learned person does something that others may do without demur, but that a person like him ought not to do, because he enjoys a widespread reputation of piety, so that more is expected of him. He has profaned God's name. Rav offered this definition of profanation: "When I, for example, buy meat and do not pay at once." In other words, a person of his stature should not purchase anything unless he can pay at once at the time of purchase, although it is a quite acceptable practice (to buy on credit). A similar point of view is reflected in R. Johanan's statement: "When I, for example, walk four ells without wearing my phylacteries," implying that it is not proper for a man like him to do this. Many times we find the explanation that it is different when the party concerned is an important individual. 130

The second kind is when a learned man behaves disgustingly in matters of trade or negotiation, receives people sullenly and insolently, is not of a friendly disposition, and has relations with others that are not founded on respect and mutual regard. A person of this character has profaned God's name. This is what the rabbis, peace be upon them, say: "When a person is learned but does not deal creditably, and does not speak softly to people, how is he judged? 'Woe to so-and-so who is educated, woe to his father who had him study, woe to his master who taught him. How perverse his actions are! How ugly his ways!' "131 Scripture speaks of him in this passage: in that it was said of them, these are the people of the Lord and they left His land. 132

If I were not concerned about verbosity and rambling, I would outline in detail how an individual ought to deal with others, what all his actions and words should be like, and how he should receive people, so that anyone who spoke to him or had dealings with him would have only words of praise. I would explain what the rabbis mean by their expression "dealing creditably" or "speaking softly to people." But this would require a full-length book. So I resume.

Sanctification of God's name is the contrary of profanation. When

a person fulfills one of the commandments, and no other motive impels him save his love of God and His service, he has publicly sanctified God's name. ¹³³ So also if he enjoys a good reputation he has sanctified God's name. The rabbis phrase it this way: "When a person has studied Bible and Mishnah, ministered to scholars, dealt gently with people, what is the general judgment of him? Happy is his father who taught him Torah, and woe to those who have not studied. See how lovely are the ways of so-and-so who is learned in Torah, how proper his deeds." ¹³⁴ It is he who is meant by the verse: And He said to me, "You are My servant, Israel in whom I glory [Isa. 49:3]. ¹³⁵ Similarly, if a great man shuns actions that others think ugly, even if he does not think so, he sanctifies God's name. Scripture counsels: Put crooked speech away from you [Prov. 4:24]. ¹³⁶

Profanation of God's name is a grievous sin for which the inadvertent sinner and the deliberate sinner are equally punished. The rabbis rule that in the sin of the profanation of God's name it makes no difference whether it is accidental or purposeful. 137 A man is granted a delay in punishment of all sins, but not for the profanation of God's name. This is how the rabbis formulate it: "For the profanation of God's name no credit is extended. What does it mean? He is not treated as he is by the storekeeper who extends credit."138 The rabbis also teach that whoever profanes God's name in secret is punished in the open. 139 It is a more serious sin than any other. Neither the Day of Atonement, 140 nor suffering, nor repentance procures forgiveness. This is the dictum of the rabbis: "He who is guilty of the profanation of God's name cannot find forgiveness by either repentance or the Day of Atonement, nor can suffering wash it away; they all suspend punishment until death provides the forgiveness, and its biblical support is: Then the Lord of Hosts revealed Himself to my ears: 'This iniquity shall never be forgiven you until you die" [Isa. 22:14].' "141 The entire exposition is in reference to the person who voluntarily profanes God's name, as I shall elucidate.

As profanation of God's name is a grievous sin, so is sanctification of His name a most meritorious deed, for which one is generously rewarded. Every Jewish individual is required to sanctify God's name. It is stated in Sifra: ¹⁴² "I the Lord am your God, who brought you out of the land of Egypt, to give you the land of Canaan, to be your

God,"¹⁴³ that is, on condition that you sanctify My name publicly. In the chapter on the rebellious and defiant son we are told that Rabbi Ami was asked if a Noahide¹⁴⁴ is commanded to sanctify God's name. It may be concluded from this question that regarding an Israelite no similar doubt is raised; he is indeed bidden to sanctify His name, and this is what the verse implies: That I may be sanctified in the midst of the Israelite people [Lev. 22:32]. ¹⁴⁵

Theme three is about the gradation of those who are martyrs for God's name and those whom persecution forces to convert. You have to realize that wherever the sages rule that one is to surrender his life and not transgress, one who was executed has sanctified God's name. If ten Israelites witnessed his death he has sanctified His name publicly. It includes Hananiah, Mishael, Azariah, 146 Daniel, 147 the ten martyrs by government order, 148 the seven children of Hannah, 149 and all the other victims of Israel, may God avenge their blood in the near future. It is to them that the verse refers: Bring in My devotees, who made a covenant with Me over sacrifice [Ps. 50:5]. 150 To the rabbis this verse seemed appropriate: I adjure you O maidens of Jerusalem, by gazelles or by hinds of the field [Song of Songs 2:7], 151 which means—I adiure you, O maidens of Jerusalem, the persecuted generations; by gazelles, those who did for Me what I desired, so I did what they desired; by hinds of the field, those who shed their blood for Me¹⁵² like the blood of the gazelles and the hinds. ¹⁵³ To them this verse also refers: It is for Your sake that we are slain all day long [Ps. 44:231.154

A person to whom God grants the privilege of ascending to this high rank, in other words, to suffer a martyr's death, even if he is as sinful as Jeroboam ben Nebat and his associates, 155 is surely one of the members of the world-to-come, although he may not be learned. 156 The rabbis infer 157 this from the tradition that no creature is qualified to attain the status of the martyrs by government order: "Is it Rabbi Akiva and his colleagues? But of course not! They are beneficiaries of learning and good deeds. 158 No, it is the martyrs of Lydda." 159

Now, if he did not surrender himself to death but transgressed under duress and did not die, he did not act properly, and under compulsion he profaned God's name. However, he is not to be punished by any of the seven means of retribution. ¹⁶⁰ Not a single instance is found in

the Torah in which a forced individual is sentenced to any of the punishments, whether the transgression was light or grave. Only he who acts voluntarily is subject, as Scripture directs: But the person ... who acts defiantly ... that soul shall be cut off [Num. 15:30], but not of one who was forced. The Talmud often says: The Torah rules that the forced individual is not culpable, for this case is like that of a man attacking another and murdering him [Deut. 22:26], 162 and frequently the ruling is repeated; a forced individual is excused by the Torah. 163 He is not dubbed a transgressor, nor a wicked man, nor is he disqualified from giving testimony, unless he committed a sin that disqualifies him from serving as a witness. 164 He simply did not fulfill the commandment of sanctifying God's name, but he can under no circumstance be named a deliberate profaner of God's name. 165

Therefore, anyone who claims or thinks that a person who transgressed is to be condemned to death, because the sages established the principle that one must surrender himself to death and not transgress, is absolutely wrong. It simply is not so, as I shall explain. True, it is upon him to surrender to death, but if he does not he is not guilty. 166 Even if he worships idols under duress his soul will not be cut off, and he is certainly not executed by court order. This principle is clearly stated in the Sifra: 167 The divine Torah rules regarding one who gives of his seed to Molech: I Myself will set My face against that man [Lev. 20:5], not if he was forced, nor if it was unwittingly, nor if he was taught wrong. Plainly then, if he was forced or was taught wrong his soul will not be cut off, although it will be if he does it presumptuously and voluntarily. It is even plainer that if he forcibly committed sins that, if presumptuously and voluntarily committed. are punished by forty lashes, he is not at all subject to this punishment. The law against profanation is stated prohibitively in the declaration of God, blessed be He: You shall not profane My holy name [Lev. 22:32]. 168

Now it is known that a false oath is profanation, as we read in the Torah: You shall not swear falsely by My name, profaning the name of your God: I am the Lord [Lev. 19:12]. 169 Yet the text of the Mishnah reads: "Men may vow to murderers, robbers, and tax-gatherers that what they have is heave-offering. . . ."170 The school of Shammai qualifies that they may confirm this with a vow; the school of Hillel

broadens it to include even an oath. This is explicitly written. These matters are clear and in no need of supportive argument of any kind, for how can anyone suggest that the law with respect to a person who acted under duress and one who acted voluntarily is the same? And our sages ruled: "Let him transgress and surrender his life." So you see, this man¹⁷¹ is of higher status than the sages, and more punctilious about the Law. By word of mouth and the use of his tongue, he surrenders himself to death and claims to have sanctified God's name. But by his actions he is a sinner and rebellious, and he makes himself guilty against his life, because God, exalted be He, established by the pursuit of which man shall live [Lev. 18:5], and not die.¹⁷²

Theme four deals with the difference between this persecution and others, and what a person should do. Remember that in all the difficulties that occurred in the time of the sages, they were compelled to violate commandments and to perform sinful acts. The Talmud lists the prohibitions, that they may not study Torah, that they may not circumcise their sons, 173 and that they have intercourse with their wives when they are ritually unclean. 174 But in this persecution they are not required to do anything but say something, so that if a man wishes to fulfill the 613 commandments¹⁷⁵ secretly¹⁷⁶ he can do so. He incurs no blame for it, unless he set himself without compulsion to desecrate the Sabbath, although no one forced him. 177 This compulsion imposes no action, only speech. They¹⁷⁸ know very well that we do not mean what we say, and that what we say is only to escape the ruler's punishment and to satisfy him with this simple confession. Anyone who suffered martyrdom in order not to acknowledge the apostleship of "that man," 179 the only thing that can be said of him is that he has done what is good and proper, and that God holds great reward in store for him. His position is very high, for he has given his life for the sanctity of God, be He exalted and blessed. But if anyone comes to ask me whether to surrender his life or acknowledge, I tell him to confess and not choose death. However, he should not continue to live in the domain of that ruler. 180 He should stay home and not go out, and if he is dependent on his work let him be the Jew in private. There has never yet been a persecution as remarkable as this one, where the only coercion is to say something. When our rabbis ruled that a person is to surrender himself to death and not transgress, it does not seem likely that they had in mind speech that did not involve action. He is to suffer martyrdom only when it is demanded of him to perform a deed, or something that he is forbidden to do. ¹⁸¹

A victim of this persecution should follow this counsel: Let him set it as his objective to observe as much of the Law as he can. If it happens that he has sinned much, or that he has desecrated the Sabbath, he should still not carry what it is not allowed to carry. 182 He must not think that what he has already violated is far more grievous than what he observes; 183 let him be as careful about observance as possible. Remember, a person must learn this fundamental principle. Jeroboam ben Nebat¹⁸⁴ is chastised for making the calves, and for disregarding the regulations regarding the Sabbath that come immediately after a holiday, or the like. 185 None can claim that he was guilty of a more serious sin. 186 This principle is applicable only in man-made laws in this world. God inflicts punishment for grievous sins and for minor ones, and He rewards people for everything they do. Hence it is important to bear in mind that one is punished for every sin committed and is rewarded for every precept fulfilled. Any other view of this is wrong.

What I counsel myself, and what I should like to suggest to all my friends and everyone that consults me, is to leave these places and go to where he can practice religion and fulfill the Law without compulsion or fear. Let him leave his family and his home and all he has, because the divine Law that He bequeathed to us is more valuable than the ephemeral, worthless incidentals that the intellectuals scorn; they are transient, whereas the fear of God is eternal. 187 Moreover, when two Jewish cities are at one's elbow, one superior to the other in its actions and behavior, more observant and more concerned with the precepts, the God-fearing individual is obliged to depart from the town where the actions are not at their best, and move to the better township. 188 We are guided by the admonition of the rabbis not to dwell in a city in which there are fewer than ten righteous residents. 189 They derive this from a dialogue between God and Abraham, which concludes the account of Sodom. What if ten righteous people should be found there? And He answered: "I will not destroy, for the sake of the ten" [Gen. 18:32]. This is the proper thing to do when both cities are Jewish. But if the place is gentile, the Jew who resides there must by all means

leave it and go to a more suitable location. He must make every effort to do so although he may expose himself to danger, so that he can get away from this bad spot where he cannot practice his religion properly, and strive to reach a comfortable place. Indeed, the prophets have spelled out that a person who resides among nonbelievers is one of them, 190 and so King David complained: For they have driven me out today, so that I cannot have a share in the Lord's possession, but am told, "Go and worship other gods" [1 Sam. 26:19]; he equated his dwelling among the gentiles with the worship of other gods. The pious and the God-fearing are required to despise evil and its doers, for so David declared: O Lord, You know I hate those who hate You, and loathe Your adversaries [Ps. 139:21]. 191 He also announced: I am a companion to all who fear You, to those who keep Your precepts [Ps. 119:63]. 192 Likewise, our father Abraham, we find, despised his family and his home and ran for his life to escape from the doctrines of the heretics. 193

This is the effort he must make to separate himself from the heretics when they do not coerce him to do as they do; he should leave them. But if he is compelled to violate even one precept it is forbidden to stay there. He must leave everything he has, travel day and night until he finds a spot where he can practice his religion. The world is sufficiently large and extensive. The appeal of the person who pleads his duties to his family and his household is really no excuse. A brother cannot redeem a man, or pay his ransom to God [Ps. 49:8]. ¹⁹⁴ I do not think it is right to make this plea in order to avoid the obligation and not flee to a reasonable place. He must under no circumstance continue to reside in the land of persecution. If he does, he is a transgressor, profanes God's name, and is almost a presumptuous sinner.

Those who delude themselves to think that they will remain where they are until the king Messiah appears in the Maghreb, and they will then leave for Jerusalem¹⁹⁵—I simply do not know how they will rid themselves of the present difficulties. They are transgressors, and they lead others to sin. The prophet Jeremiah's criticism: They offer healing offhand for the wounds of My people, saying, "all is well, all is well," when nothing is well [Jer. 6:14 and 8:11], ¹⁹⁶ fits them and others like them very well. There is no set time for the arrival of the Messiah that they can count on and decide that it is close or distant. The incum-

bency of the commandments does not depend on the appearance of the Messiah. We are required to apply ourselves to study and to the fulfillment of the precepts, and we must strive for perfection in both. If we do what we have to, we or our children or grandchildren may be privileged by God to witness the coming of the Messiah, and life will be more pleasant. If he does not come we have not lost anything; on the contrary we have gained by doing what we had to do. But it is wicked and hopeless and a renunciation of the faith for anyone to stay on in these places and see the study of Torah cease, the Jewish population perishing after some time, he himself unable to live as a Jew, but continue to say: "I will stay here until the Messiah appears and then I shall be relieved of the situation I am in."

Theme five is concerned with how a person should regard himself in this persecution. Anyone who cannot leave because of his attachments, or because of the dangers of a sea voyage, and stays where he is, ¹⁹⁷ must look upon himself as one who profanes God's name, not exactly willingly, but almost so. ¹⁹⁸ At the same time he must bear in mind that if he fulfills a precept, God will reward him doubly, because he acted so for God only, and not to show off or be accepted as an observant individual. ¹⁹⁹ The reward is much greater for a person who fulfills the Law and knows that if he is caught, he and all he has will perish. It is he who is meant in God's qualification: If only you seek Him with all your heart and soul [Deut. 4:29]. Nevertheless, no one should stop to plan to leave the provinces that God is wroth with, and to exert every effort to achieve it. ²⁰⁰

It is not right to alienate, scorn, and hate people who desecrate the Sabbath. It is our duty to befriend them, and encourage them to fulfill the commandments. The rabbis regulate explicitly that when an evildoer who sinned by choice comes to the synagogue, he is to be welcomed and not insulted.²⁰¹ In this ruling they relied on Solomon's counsel: A thief should not be despised for stealing to appease his hunger [Prov. 6:30]. It means do not despise the evildoer in Israel when he comes secretly to "steal" some observance.

Ever since we were exiled from our land persecution is our unending lot, ²⁰² because from our youth it has grown with us like a father and from our mother's womb it has directed us [Job 31:18]. ²⁰³ But we frequently find in the Talmud, "a persecution is likely to pass." ²⁰⁴ May

God put an end to this one, and may the prediction be realized. In those days and at that time—declares the Lord—the iniquity of Israel shall be sought, and there shall be none; the sins of Judah, and none shall be found; for I will pardon those I allow to survive [Jer. 50:20]. May it be His will. Amen.

NOTES

- 1. Maimonides employs the first person plural in most references to himself (literally, ours). This was the usage developed among speakers of Arabic in medieval times, especially in northwestern Africa. In the translation, English practice is followed.
- 2. The reference is to the Almohads (al-Muwaḥhidun) and their destructive conquest of North Africa and Spain (see Abraham ibn Ezra's poem: "Alas, calamity from heaven has struck Spain, an elegy for the victims of the persecution"). The Almohads (1130–1223) early in their history instituted forced conversion.
- 3. That man in this context is Muhammad, founder of Islam, whose name Maimonides avoids mentioning.
- 4. By qualifying the "sage" with "whom he calls," Maimonides indicates that he himself does not think so.
- 5. The question raised by the forced convert is either/or, as if there is no alternative, as Maimonides will point out.
- 6. It is to be noted that Maimonides begins with his opinion of the sage, and follows it with evidence that supports his judgment. His evaluation of women's capacity was common in the ancient and medieval world.
- 7. The verse from the Bible is used by Maimonides to support his thinking. This reflects the view, held by generations of rabbis and scholars, that Scripture is a storehouse of all knowledge and doctrine.
- 8. The reference is to King Solomon, recognized by tradition as the author of Song of Songs, Proverbs, and Ecclesiastes.
- 9. Maimonides may be referring to Job's response to his friends after every speech they made, or he may be thinking of the length of Job's answers compared with the briefer statements of the friends.
- 10. The first passage is Zophar's opening rebuke and the second is by Elihu.
 - 11. I.e., Muhammad.
 - 12. BT Nedarim 28a; BT Kiddushin 40a; and elsewhere.
 - 13. See the relevant account in 1 Kings 12:20ff.
- 14. The rabbi's reasoning is that the person who pronounces the Muslim confession of faith thereby reads himself out of the Jewish religious community, so that his fulfillment of the Law, or any part of it, is no more efficacious than its fulfillment by any Muslim or gentile.
 - 15. An ironical characterization, implying the opposite.
 - 16. Maimonides' judgment of the case is very different from that of the

35 NOTES TO PAGES 16-18

rabbi. Maimonides regards the utterance of the confession as insignificant because it was not spoken in sincerity. The question to be determined is why an individual in this critical situation refrains from observing Jewish laws. Is it because he does not want to, or because he is afraid? Maimonides is persuaded that the judgment of the issue is related to this difference.

17. I.e., he attends Muslim services in a mosque.

- 18. In JT Sukkah 5, section 5, the verse is applied to those who bow before the sun and also bow down before the Temple.
 - 19. I.e., they play the role of the truly pious Muslim.

20. A pious Jewish individual.

21. Literally: the magnificence of God, the name of the declaration that the Muslim makes: Allah Akbar—God is most magnificent.

22. Namely, the mosque.

- 23. I.e., Muhammad. The avowal is part of the confession that the convert to Islam recites.
- 24. This is the meaning that the rabbis derive from the verse. Cf. BT Baya Kamma 72b and BT Sanhedrin 27a.

25. Maimonides renders the verse "the end may be better."

- 26. The suggestion in the rabbi's introduction of "heretics and Christians" is that the confession is such grievous betrayal of their convictions, that a Jew should certainly act the same way, and if he fails to, he excludes himself from the Jewish religion.
- 27. The protest is made by Elijah against King Ahaziah's inquiry of foreign deity. The phrase is expressive of Maimonides' inner pain.

28. The Torah, Lev. 20:1-6, very vigorously condemns this act and behavior of those who disregard this hideous deed.

- 29. The caution Maimonides expresses is consistent with his own practice, and he explicitly declares in his Introduction to the Guide of the Perplexed: "The diction of this treatise has not been chosen haphazardly, but with great exactness and exceeding precision . . . and nothing has been mentioned out of place." He reads the advice in Job as it was explained by R. Aha in Genesis Rabbah 24:5: God would repeat every statement He made to Moses. See also BT Eruvin 54b.
- 30. The verse speaks of the plague of darkness inflicted on Egypt. Former generations did not hesitate to use any apt biblical passage, even though its original use was in a different context.

31. Maimonides is referring to the sin that he committed by hurling insults at Jews and naming them gentiles.

- 32. Exodus Rabbah 1:10 charges the Jews in Egypt with deliberately discontinuing the rite of circumcision because they wished to imitate the Egyptians.
 - 33. Exodus Rabbah 19:6.
- 34. The verse occurs in the section that teaches the proper treatment of the Paschal lamb.
- 35. Numbers Rabbah 11:6, in which Song of Songs 3:7 is said to be a summary of the story of the Exodus.
 - 36. Josh. 5:3 reports that Joshua circumcised the people at the "Hill of